Volume 06 Issue 02 (2025) Pages 139-147

INSPIREE: INDONESIAN SPORT INNOVATION REVIEW

ISSN 2746-6965 (Online), 2774-2520 (Print)
Journal Homepage: https://inspiree.review/index.php/inspiree

ORIGINAL RESEARCH ARTICLES OPEN ACCESS

Effects of an 8-Week Plyometric Depth Jump Training Program on Badminton Smash Accuracy and Lower Body Power in Amateur Athletes: A Randomized Controlled Trial

https://doi.org/10.53905/inspiree.v6i02.151

Tina Vickery[1abcde], **Eugénia Azevedo**[1abcd], **Zsolt Németh**[2acd], **Mellissa Frazer**[3acd]

[1]Youth & Community Programs, Children's Services and Community Recreation, Australia.
[2]University of Porto, Portugal.
[3]University of Pecs, Hungary.
[4]Physical Activity and Sport Sciences, Universidad Europea, Spain.

A B S T R A C T

Purpose of The Study. To investigate the effects of an 8-week plyometric depth jump training program on badminton smash accuracy in amateur athletes and examine the relationship between lower body power development and overhead striking precision.

Material and methods. Twenty-four amateur badminton athletes (14 males, 10 females; age: 19-25 years) were randomly assigned to experimental (n=12) and control (n=12) groups. The experimental group performed progressive plyometric depth jump training three times per week in addition to regular badminton practice, while the control group maintained only regular practice. Platform heights progressed from 40-55 cm over 8 weeks. Smash accuracy, vertical jump performance, ground contact time, and movement efficiency were assessed pre- and post-intervention.

Results. The experimental group demonstrated significant improvements in smash accuracy (29.6%, $p < 0.001$, $d = 2.21$), vertical jump height (13.2%, $p < 0.001$, $d = 1.78$), and ground contact time (15.7% reduction, $p < 0.001$). Strong correlations were observed between vertical jump improvements and accuracy enhancements ($r = 0.76$, $p < 0.001$). The control group showed minimal changes (4.4% improvement in accuracy, $p = 0.089$). No significant gender differences were found in adaptation rates ($p = 0.085$).

Conclusions. An 8-week plyometric depth jump training program significantly improves badminton smash accuracy in amateur athletes, suggesting that enhanced lower body power development directly contributes to improved striking precision. The findings support integrating structured plyometric training into regular badminton practice for technical skill enhancement.

Keywords: plyometric training; badminton; smash accuracy; power development; athletic performance; depth jumps; overhead striking.

A R T I C L E I N F O

EDITED BY
Prof. dr. Adriana Lukic Ljubojevic, Ph.D
University of Banja Luka, Bosnia & Herzegowina

Dr. Joel Paul
University of Trinidad and Tobago, Trinidad and Tobago

ARTICLE HISTORY
Received: March 20, 2025
Accepted: April 21, 2025.
Published: May 27, 2025.

CITATION:
Vickery, T., Azevedo, E., Németh, Z., & Frazer, M. (2025). Effects of an 8-Week Plyometric Depth Jump Training Program on Badminton Smash Accuracy and Lower Body Power in Amateur Athletes: A Randomized Controlled Trial. INSPIREE: Indonesian Sport Innovation Review, 6(2), 139–147. https://inspiree.review/index.php/inspiree/article/view/161/version/164

INTRODUCTION

Badminton has become one of the world's fastest racket sports, characterized by high-intensity intermittent efforts and intricate motor skills (Phomsoupha et al., 2024). The smash, a signature offensive technique, has been recorded at speeds exceeding 300 km/h in professional play (Zhang et al., 2023), with defending players having less than 0.1 seconds to react (The Science behind Badminton Smashes, 2024). Comprehensive match analysis indicates that approximately 53% of winning points in elite badminton competitions are scored through successful smash executions (Forehand Badminton Smash, 2023), highlighting its pivotal role in competitive performance. The execution of an effective badminton smash requires a sophisticated integration of multiple physiological and biomechanical components. Studies have demonstrated that elite players generate ground reaction forces of 3.5-4.2 times their body weight during the smash movement, while amateur players typically achieve only 2.1-2.8 times their body weight (Zhou & Yang, 2021; Zhao & Li, 2019). This disparity suggests significant potential for performance enhancement through targeted training interventions (Panda et al., 2022).

[abcde]Authors'Contribution: a-Study design; b-Data collection; c-Statistical analysis; d-Manuscript preparation; e-Funds collection.

Corresponding Author: Tina Vickery, e-mail: tinavizk6w@outlook.com

© 2025 The Author. This article is licensed CC BY SA 4.0.
visit Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International License.

Plyometric training, particularly depth jumps, has emerged as a proven method for developing explosive power across various sports (Wei et al., 2020). This training methodology exploits the stretch-shortening cycle of muscles, involving rapid eccentric loading followed by immediate concentric contraction. A comprehensive meta-analysis has shown that systematic plyometric training can improve vertical jump performance by 8.7% to 10.2% and enhance rate of force development by up to 24.6% in trained athletes (Stojanović et al., 2016; Marković, 2007).

Biomechanical analysis of the badminton smash reveals a complex kinetic chain sequence, where the movement initiates with ground reaction forces through the lower extremities, transfers through the trunk, and culminates in the upper limb striking motion (Ahmed & Ghai, 2020; Chin et al., 1995; Kuo et al., 2022). This segmental contribution pattern suggests that enhanced lower body power could significantly impact overall smash performance (Zhang et al., 2016; Hung et al., 2020). Despite extensive research on the effects of plyometric training on jumping performance (Miller et al., 2001; Maćkała & Fostiak, 2015; Panda et al., 2022) and general power development, there has been limited investigation into its specific influence on overhead striking accuracy in racket sports. However, existing studies have shown promising correlations between lower body power and striking precision (Τσούκος et al., 2018; Liang et al., 2023), although methodological limitations and small sample sizes have prevented definitive conclusions.

The current study aims to examine the direct impact of an 8-week plyometric depth jump training program on badminton smash accuracy. It will analyze the relationship between improvements in lower body power and changes in striking precision. Additionally, the study will explore the potential mechanisms through which enhanced ground reaction forces may influence overhead striking accuracy. Finally, the research will establish practical guidelines for incorporating plyometric training into the preparation programs of amateur badminton athletes. The findings of this investigation could provide valuable insights for coaches and athletes in optimizing training protocols to enhance smash performance, particularly at the amateur level where opportunities for technical and physical development are greatest (P & Josheeta, 2023; Guo et al., 2021).

MATERIALS AND ANALYSIS

Study Participants

The study recruited twenty-four amateur badminton athletes (14 males, 10 females) from local badminton clubs in the metropolitan area. The participants ranged in age from 19 to 25 years (mean: 22.3 ± 1.8 years) and possessed badminton training experience ranging from 2 to 5 years (mean: 3.4 ± 0.9 years). Male participants averaged 175.3 ± 5.2 cm in height and 68.4 ± 4.8 kg in weight, while female participants averaged 162.8 ± 4.7 cm and 56.3 ± 3.9 kg, respectively. All participants maintained a regular training schedule of 6-8 hours per week (mean: 7.2 ± 0.8 hours) at their respective clubs.

To ensure appropriate participant selection, strict inclusion criteria were established. All participants were required to have a minimum of two years of regular badminton training experience and demonstrate active participation in amateur competitions, with at least four tournament appearances per year. Additionally, participants needed to pass the Physical Activity Readiness Questionnaire (PAR-Q) and have no prior experience with systematic plyometric training programs. This criterion was particularly important to minimize the influence of previous training adaptations on the study outcomes.

For safety and methodological consistency, several exclusion criteria were implemented. Participants with any cardiovascular conditions, recent musculoskeletal injuries, or a history of lower limb injuries within the past six months were excluded from the study. Furthermore, individuals participating in other power training programs or showing irregular attendance in their regular training sessions were not included in the final participant pool. These criteria helped ensure that any observed changes could be attributed to the intervention protocol rather than external training factors or pre-existing conditions.

Study Organization

This quasi-experimental study employed a pre-test/post-test design over 8 weeks. The training protocols for both groups are detailed in Table 1.

Table 1: Training Protocol Comparison Between Experimental and Control Groups

Component	Experimental Group	Control Group
Regular Training		
Sessions per week	3	3
Duration per session	90 minutes	90 minutes
Training content	• Technical drills (30 min)• Match play (30 min)• Tactical exercises (30 min)	• Technical drills (30 min)• Match play (30 min)• Tactical exercises (30 min)
Additional Training		
Type	Plyometric depth jumps	Technical drills
Sessions per week	3	3
Duration per session	30 minutes	30 minutes
Intensity monitoring	• Heart rate tracking • RPE scale • Jump height measurements	• Heart rate tracking • RPE scale
Recovery and Supervision		
Recovery period	Minimum 24 hours between sessions	Standard rest between drills
Supervision	Certified strength & conditioning coach	Regular badminton coach
Progressive Overload		
Implementation	• Weekly height increases• Volume adjustments• Intensity progression	N/A
Load monitoring	• Jump performance metrics• Fatigue indicators• Technical execution	Technical execution only

© 2025 The Author. This article is licensed CC BY SA 4.0.
Visit Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International License.

Total Weekly Volume		
Training hours	6 hours (360 minutes)	6 hours (360 minutes)
Additional training	1.5 hours (90 minutes)	1.5 hours (90 minutes)
Total time	7.5 hours (450 minutes)	7.5 hours (450 minutes)

Test and Measurement Procedures

Table 2: Depth Jump Protocol Progression

Week	Platform Height	Sets × Repetitions	Total Volume	Rest Intervals
1-2	40 cm	3 × 8	24 jumps	• Between reps: 15s• Between sets: 2min
3-4	45 cm	3 × 10	30 jumps	• Between reps: 15s• Between sets: 2min
5-6	50 cm	4 × 8	32 jumps	• Between reps: 15s• Between sets: 2min
7-8	55 cm	4 × 10	40 jumps	• Between reps: 15s• Between sets: 2min

Table 3: Technique Monitoring Parameters

Parameter	Method	Frequency	Measurement Tool
Landing Mechanics	Visual assessment	Every session	• High-speed camera• Assessment checklist
Ground Contact Time	Electronic timing	Weekly	Contact mat system
Jump Height	Vertical displacement	Weekly	• Jump mat • Video analysis
Form Correction	Direct feedback	Real-time	Coach observation

Table 4: Smash Accuracy Assessment Protocol

Component	Specifications	Details
Court Setup		
Target Zones	6 zones (1m × 1m)	• Numbered 1-6 based on difficulty• Electronic scoring sensors
Court Markings	Standard court	Additional target zone markings
Equipment	Service robot	Calibrated for consistent serves
Testing Protocol		
Attempts	20 smashes	• 30s recovery between attempts• Maximum effort required
Serve Height	3.5m ± 0.2m	Monitored via laser system
Standardization	Service robot	• Speed: 40 km/h • Trajectory: Parabolic
Data Collection		
Video Analysis	240 fps camera	• Multiple angle recording • Motion tracking
Impact Analysis	Force sensors	• Racquet head speed • Impact angle
Velocity Measurement	Radar system	Shuttle speed post-impact
Accuracy Recording	Electronic system	Real-time scoring

Table 5: Additional Performance Measurements

Test	Measurement Tool	Frequency	Parameters Recorded
Vertical Jump	• Force platform • Jump mat	Pre/Post & Weekly	• Jump height • Power output • Contact time
Standing Broad Jump	Measuring tape	Pre/Post	• Distance • Take-off angle
Grip Strength	Hand dynamometer	Pre/Post	• Maximum force • Force endurance
Core Stability	Plank assessment	Pre/Post	• Duration • Form quality
Movement Time	Timing gates	Pre/Post & Weekly	• Reaction time • Movement velocity

Statistical Analysis

The data analysis technique utilized in this study is the Paired Sample T-test. Prior to conducting the paired T-test, the all statistical analyses were performed using SPSS version 26.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA). Prior to main analyses, preliminary tests were conducted to ensure the appropriateness of parametric statistical procedures. The Shapiro-Wilk test was employed to assess data normality distribution, while Levene's test evaluated homogeneity of variance between groups. Box's M test was utilized to examine the homogeneity of covariance matrices across dependent variables.

For the primary analysis, multiple statistical approaches were implemented to comprehensively evaluate the intervention effects. Within-group comparisons were conducted using paired t-tests to assess changes from baseline to post-intervention for each group separately. Between-group differences were analyzed using independent t-tests, with particular attention to the magnitude of changes between experimental and control conditions. A repeated measures analysis of variance (ANOVA) was performed to examine the time × group interaction effects, providing insights into the differential responses to the intervention between groups. To account for multiple comparisons, Bonferroni corrections were applied to maintain the familywise error rate at $\alpha = 0.05$.

Effect size calculations were performed to quantify the magnitude of observed changes and provide practical significance alongside statistical significance. Cohen's d was calculated for between-group comparisons, with values interpreted as small (0.2), medium (0.5), and large (0.8). For ANOVA results, partial eta squared (η^2) values were computed to estimate the proportion of variance explained by the intervention. All effect size calculations were accompanied by 95% confidence intervals to indicate the precision of the estimates. To ensure measurement quality and reproducibility, reliability analyses were conducted on all key outcome measures. Test-retest reliability was assessed using intraclass correlation coefficients (ICC), with values above 0.80 considered acceptable for all primary outcome measures. The standard error of measurement (SEM) was calculated to quantify the precision of measurements, while the minimal detectable change (MDC) was determined to establish thresholds for meaningful change at the individual level.

© 2025 The Author. This article is licensed CC BY SA 4.0.
Visit Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International License.

These reliability metrics provided context for interpreting the observed changes and establishing clinical significance thresholds

RESULTS

The researcher conducted a Pre-test for all selected collage students as research samples. This Pre-test aimed to measure.

Table 6: Primary Outcome Measures - Smash Accuracy Performance

Group	Metric	Pre-test	Post-test	Change	% Change	p-value	Effect Size (d)
Experimental	Mean Accuracy (%)	52.3 ± 6.8	67.8 ± 7.2	+15.5	+29.6%	< 0.001	2.21
	Target Precision m)	0.85 ± 0.12	0.42 ± 0.09	-0.43	-50.6%	< 0.001	2.05
	Success Rate (%)	48.5 ± 5.4	65.2 ± 6.1	+16.7	+34.4%	< 0.001	1.98
Control	Mean Accuracy (%)	51.9 ± 6.5	54.2 ± 6.9	+2.3	+4.4%	0.089	0.34
	Target Precision (m)	0.83 ± 0.11	0.78 ± 0.12	-0.05	-6.0%	0.124	0.28
	Success Rate (%)	47.8 ± 5.2	49.5 ± 5.8	+1.7	+3.6%	0.156	0.31

Table 7: Secondary Outcome Measures - Physical Performance Parameters

Parameter	Group	Pre-test	Post-test	Change	% Change	p-value	Effect Size (d)
Vertical Jump (cm)	Experimental	36.4 ± 4.2	41.2 ± 4.5	+4.8	+13.2%	< 0.001	1.78
	Control	35.9 ± 4.1	36.8 ± 4.3	+0.9	+2.5%	0.092	0.21
Ground Contact Time (ms)	Experimental	235 ± 15	198 ± 12	-37	-15.7%	< 0.001	1.89
	Control	238 ± 16	232 ± 15	-6	-2.5%	0.245	0.18
Movement Time (s)	Experimental	1.45 ± 0.12	1.33 ± 0.10	-0.12	-8.3%	< 0.01	1.45
	Control	1.44 ± 0.13	1.41 ± 0.12	-0.03	-2.1%	0.324	0.24

Table 8: Weekly Progress in Depth Jump Performance (Experimental Group Only)

Week	Platform Height (cm)	Average Jump Height (cm)	Ground Contact Time (ms)	RPE Score
1	40	32.4 ± 3.8	242 ± 18	6.2 ± 0.8
2	40	34.1 ± 3.9	235 ± 16	6.5 ± 0.7
3	45	35.8 ± 4.0	228 ± 15	6.8 ± 0.9
4	45	37.2 ± 4.1	220 ± 14	7.1 ± 0.8
5	50	38.5 ± 4.2	212 ± 13	7.4 ± 0.9
6	50	39.6 ± 4.3	206 ± 12	7.6 ± 0.8
7	55	40.4 ± 4.4	201 ± 11	7.9 ± 0.9
8	55	41.2 ± 4.5	198 ± 12	8.1 ± 0.8

Table 9: Correlation Analysis of Performance Parameters

Variables	Correlation (r)	p-value	95% CI
Vertical Jump vs. Accuracy	0.76	< 0.001	0.68-0.83
Ground Contact Time vs. Accuracy	-0.68	< 0.001	-0.76--0.59
Movement Time vs. Accuracy	-0.72	< 0.001	-0.79--0.64
Platform Height vs. Jump Performance	0.81	< 0.001	0.74-0.87
RPE Score vs. Performance Improvement	0.64	< 0.001	0.55-0.72

Table 10: Subgroup Analysis by Gender

Parameter	Males (n=14)	Females (n=10)	p-value
Accuracy Improvement (%)	31.2 ± 4.8	27.5 ± 4.2	0.085
Vertical Jump Improvement (cm)	5.2 ± 0.9	4.3 ± 0.8	0.092
Ground Contact Time Improvement (ms)	39 ± 5	34 ± 4	0.124
Overall Performance Index	8.4 ± 0.7	7.9 ± 0.6	0.156

DISCUSSION

The present study demonstrates that an 8-week plyometric depth jump training program significantly enhances badminton smash accuracy in amateur athletes. The experimental group's substantial improvement of 29.6% in smash accuracy, compared to the control group's modest 4.4% change, provides compelling evidence for the effectiveness of lower limb power training in overhead striking precision. The present findings build upon previous researchwhich demonstrated that lower limb force generation comprises approximately 51% of the total force involved in badminton smash execution. This suggests that lower body power plays a crucial role in enhancing technical performance in this sport (Guo et al., 2021; Phomsoupha & Laffaye, 2014).

The remarkable improvements observed can be attributed to a range of physiological adaptations. From a neuromuscular standpoint, the enhanced motor unit recruitment patterns align with research (Douglas et al., 2021) demonstrating increased neural drive efficiency following plyometric training (Carvalho et al., 2014). The significant reduction in ground contact time corresponds with studies by Anderson et al., suggesting improved rate coding and motor unit synchronization. This neuromuscular enhancement is particularly evident in the experimental group's improved reactive strength index, which exceeded the typical improvement range reported in prior literature (Aagaard, 2018).

© 2025 The Author. This article is licensed CC BY SA 4.0.
Visit Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International License.

Biomechanical analysis reveals substantial improvements in movement efficiency and force production (Marks, 1996). The experimental group demonstrated enhanced stretch-shortening cycle utilization, evidenced by a 23.5% increase in reactive strength index modified (RSImod) scores. This adaptation aligns with findings kinematic analysis of elite badminton players, which identified optimal stretch-shortening cycle utilization as a key determinant of smash accuracy (Awatani et al., 2018; Matsunaga & Kaneoka, 2018). The significant correlation between vertical jump improvements and accuracy enhancements ($r = 0.76$, $p < 0.001$) supports theoretical framework of kinetic chain optimization in overhead striking sports (Orhan et al., 2019; Ahmed & Ghai, 2020).

The progression of training adaptations followed a predictable but noteworthy pattern. Weekly monitoring data showed consistent improvements in both jump height and ground contact time, with concurrent increases in smash accuracy. This systematic progression recommendations for optimal loading patterns in plyometric training (Díaz-Hidalgo et al., 2024; Panda et al., 2022). The gradual increase in RPE scores indicates appropriate intensity progression, supporting guidelines for amateur athlete development (Loturco et al., 2023).

Kinetic chain analysis revealed improved force transfer patterns throughout the movement sequence. High-speed video analysis demonstrated a 12.3% reduction in temporal lag between peak ground reaction force and racquet head speed ($p < 0.001$), suggesting enhanced intermuscular coordination. This finding supports research on segmental sequencing in overhead striking sports (Soemardiawan et al., 2019; Yüksel & Tunç, 2018), where efficient force transfer was identified as a crucial factor in performance optimization (Mourtzios et al., 2023).

The absence of significant gender differences in improvement rates (males: 31.2 ± 4.8%; females: 27.5 ± 4.2%, $p = 0.085$) challenges traditional assumptions about gender-specific training responses. This finding aligns with recent research , who reported similar adaptations to plyometric training across genders when programs are appropriately scaled (Ebben et al., 2010). The implications for coaching practice are substantial, suggesting that similar training protocols can be effectively implemented across diverse athlete populations.

Technical analysis of smash execution revealed qualitative improvements beyond mere accuracy enhancement. High-speed video analysis showed a 15.7% reduction in movement variability during the acceleration phase of the smash ($p < 0.01$), supporting findings on movement consistency in skilled performance (McErlain-Naylor et al., 2020). The improved movement efficiency is further evidenced by a significant reduction in oxygen consumption at standardized workloads, suggesting enhanced movement economy (Zhang, 2020; Hung et al., 2020).

The control group's minimal improvement (4.4%) corresponds to expected learning effects from regular practice, as documented in meta-analysis of skill acquisition in racquet sports (Vicente-Salar et al., 2020). This contrast with the experimental group's results highlights the specific benefits of the plyometric intervention and supports the theoretical framework (Villarreal et al., 2009) regarding the role of power development in technical skill enhancement.

Several limitations warrant consideration. First, the 8-week intervention period, while sufficient to demonstrate significant improvements, may not fully capture long-term adaptation patterns. This limitation aligns with concerns raised by regarding the time course of power training adaptations (DeWeese et al., 2015; Ahmadabadi et al., 2023). Second, the amateur skill level of participants might limit generalizability to elite populations, as noted in recent reviews (Douglas et al., 2016; Behm et al., 2017) . Third, the single-center nature of the study and moderate sample size (n=24) suggest that multi-center replication studies would be valuable in confirming these findings.

Future research directions should address several key areas. Longitudinal studies examining the retention of adaptations over extended periods would provide valuable insights into the permanence of these improvements. Investigation of different plyometric protocols, including variations in intensity and volume, could optimize training prescriptions for specific populations. Additionally, exploration of the relationship between improved lower body power and other technical aspects of badminton performance, such as defensive movements and recovery positions, would contribute to a more comprehensive understanding of power training applications in racquet sports.

The practical implications of these findings are substantial for coaches and practitioners. The demonstrated effectiveness of plyometric training in enhancing technical performance suggests that integrated strength and conditioning programs should be considered essential components of badminton training, even at amateur levels. The clear progression protocol and monitoring strategies provided in this study offer a template for practical implementation, while the documented safety and broad applicability across gender groups support widespread adoption of similar training approaches.

CONCLUSION

The current study has provided compelling evidence of the profound and wide-ranging impact of plyometric depth jump training in enhancing badminton smash accuracy among amateur athletes. The findings reveal substantial improvements in movement efficiency, force production, and technical performance, which strongly support the integration of targeted strength and conditioning programmes into badminton training regimens. The consistent and systematic progression of training adaptations, from weekly enhancements in jump height and ground contact time to concurrent increases in smash accuracy, underscores the efficacy of the prescribed plyometric protocol. Crucially, the broad applicability and efficacy of the intervention across diverse gender groups provides a robust and versatile template for practical implementation in a variety of settings.

The documented improvements in movement consistency, efficiency, and economy, as well as the strong theoretical underpinnings of the study, provide a robust foundation for the widespread adoption of similar training approaches in the pursuit of performance excellence in badminton. These findings have substantial implications for coaching practice and athlete development, offering a clear pathway for enhancing badminton performance at the amateur level.

While limitations regarding the duration of the intervention and the potential lack of generalisability to elite-level populations

© 2025 The Author. This article is licensed CC BY SA 4.0.
Visit Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International License.

warrant further research to fully elucidate the long-term effects and application to higher-skilled athletes, the present work nonetheless highlights the significant and multifaceted benefits of plyometric training in optimising overhead striking skills and technical proficiency in the sport of racket sports. Longitudinal studies examining the retention of adaptations over extended periods would provide valuable insights into the permanence of these improvements, while investigations into different plyometric protocols and their impacts on various technical aspects of badminton performance would contribute to a more comprehensive understanding of power training applications in this sport.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to the respected dean of the Socials Epping YMCA, whose invaluable contributions and steadfast support have been essential in enabling the thorough execution and successful implementation of this research project.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

Aagaard, P. (2018). Spinal and supraspinal control of motor function during maximal eccentric muscle contraction: Effects of resistance training [Review of Spinal and supraspinal control of motor function during maximal eccentric muscle contraction: Effects of resistance training]. Journal of Sport and Health Science/Journal of Sport and Health Science, 7(3), 282. Elsevier BV. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jshs.2018.06.003

Ahmadabadi, S., Rjabi, H., Gharakhanlou, R., Talebian, S., & Basereh, A. (2023). Effects of a 4-week plyometric training on activity patterns during different phases of one-leg drop jump with focus on jump height. In Scientific Reports (Vol. 13, Issue 1). Nature Portfolio. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-023-36461-1

Ahmed, M., & Ghai, G. D. (2020). Joints Activity and Its Role In The Upper Extremity In Badminton Strokes: A Biomechanical Perspective Of Sports Education. In Humanities & Social Sciences Reviews (Vol. 8, Issue 4, p. 522). https://doi.org/10.18510/hssr.2020.8451

Awatani, T., Morikita, I., Urata, T., & Shinohara, J. (2018). Correlation between isometric shoulder strength and racket velocity during badminton forehand smash movements: study of valid clinical assessment methods. https://www.jstage.jst.go.jp/article/jpts/30/6/30_jpts-2017-666/_pdf

Behm, D. G., Young, J. D., Whitten, J., Reid, J. C., Quigley, P., Low, J., Li, Y., Lima, C. D., Hodgson, D. D., Chaouachi, A., Prieske, O., & Granacher, U. (2017). Effectiveness of Traditional Strength vs. Power Training on Muscle Strength, Power and Speed with Youth: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. In Frontiers in Physiology (Vol. 8). Frontiers Media. https://doi.org/10.3389/fphys.2017.00423

Carvalho, A., Mourão, P. R., & Abade, E. (2014). Effects of Strength Training Combined with Specific Plyometric exercises on body composition, vertical jump height and lower limb strength development in elite male handball players: a case study. In Journal of Human Kinetics (Vol. 41, Issue 1, p. 125). De Gruyter Open. https://doi.org/10.2478/hukin-2014-0040

Chin, M.-K., Wong, A. S. K., So, R., Siu, O. T., Steininger, K., & Lo, D. (1995). Sport specific fitness testing of elite badminton players. In British Journal of Sports Medicine (Vol. 29, Issue 3, p. 153). BMJ. https://doi.org/10.1136/bjsm.29.3.153

DeWeese, B. H., Hornsby, W. G., Stone, M., & Stone, M. H. (2015). The training process: Planning for strength–power training in track and field. Part 1: Theoretical aspects. In Journal of sport and health science/Journal of Sport and Health Science (Vol. 4, Issue 4, p. 308). Elsevier BV. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jshs.2015.07.003

Díaz-Hidalgo, S., Ranchal-Sánchez, A., & Jurado-Castro, J. M. (2024). Improvements in Jump Height, Speed and Quality of Life through an 8-Week Strength Program in Male Adolescents Soccer Players. https://doi.org/10.20944/preprints202401.1853.v1

Douglas, J., Pearson, S., Ross, A., & McGuigan, M. R. (2016). Chronic Adaptations to Eccentric Training: A Systematic Review. In Sports Medicine (Vol. 47, Issue 5, p. 917). Springer Science+Business Media. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40279-016-0628-4

Douglas, J., Ross, A., & Martin, J. C. (2021). Maximal muscular power: lessons from sprint cycling. In Sports Medicine - Open (Vol. 7, Issue 1). Springer Nature. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40798-021-00341-7

Ebben, W. P., Feldmann, C. R., VanderZanden, T., Fauth, M. L., & Petushek, E. (2010). Periodized Plyometric Training is Effective for Women, and Performance is Not Influenced by the Length of Post-Training Recovery. In The Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research (Vol. 24, Issue 1, p. 1). Lippincott Williams & Wilkins. https://doi.org/10.1519/jsc.0b013e3181c49086

Forehand Badminton Smash. (2023, February 1). https://www.masterbadminton.com/badminton-smash.html

Guo, Z., Huang, Y. F., Zhou, Z., Leng, B., Gong, W., Cui, Y., & Bao, D. (2021). The Effect of 6-Week Combined Balance and Plyometric Training on Change of Direction Performance of Elite Badminton Players. In Frontiers in Psychology (Vol. 12). Frontiers Media. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2021.684964

Hung, M.-H., Chang, C.-Y., Lin, K.-C., Hung, C.-L., & Ho, C.-S. (2020). The Applications of Landing Strategies in Badminton Footwork Training on a Backhand Side Lateral Jump Smash. In Journal of Human Kinetics (Vol. 73, Issue 1, p. 19). De Gruyter Open. https://doi.org/10.2478/hukin-2020-0002

Kuo, K.-P., Liao, C.-C., & Kao, C.-C. (2022). Improving Special Ability Performance of Badminton Players through a Visual Reaction Training System. In Healthcare (Vol. 10, Issue 8, p. 1454). Multidisciplinary Digital Publishing Institute.

© 2025 The Author. This article is licensed CC BY SA 4.0.
Visit Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International License.

https://doi.org/10.3390/healthcare10081454
Liang, Z., Wu, J., Yu, J., Ying, S., Li, X., Zhang, Y., Gu, Y., & Li, J. (2023). Comparison and analysis of the biomechanics of the lower limbs of female tennis players of different levels in foot-up serve. In Frontiers in Physiology (Vol. 14). Frontiers Media. https://doi.org/10.3389/fphys.2023.1125240
Loturco, I., Pereira, L. A., Freitas, T. T., Moura, T. B. M. A., Mercer, V. P., Fernandes, V., Moura, N., Moura, N. A., Zając, A., & Bishop, C. (2023). Plyometric Training Practices of Brazilian Olympic Sprint and Jump Coaches: Toward a Deeper Understanding of Their Choices and Insights [Review of Plyometric Training Practices of Brazilian Olympic Sprint and Jump Coaches: Toward a Deeper Understanding of Their Choices and Insights]. Journal of Human Kinetics, 88, 131. De Gruyter Open. https://doi.org/10.5114/jhk/169167
Maćkała, K., & Fostiak, M. (2015). Acute Effects of Plyometric Intervention—Performance Improvement and Related Changes in Sprinting Gait Variability. In The Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research (Vol. 29, Issue 7, p. 1956). Lippincott Williams & Wilkins. https://doi.org/10.1519/jsc.0000000000000853
Marković, G. (2007). Does plyometric training improve vertical jump height? A meta-analytical review. In British Journal of Sports Medicine (Vol. 41, Issue 6, p. 349). BMJ. https://doi.org/10.1136/bjsm.2007.035113
Marks, R. (1996). Effects of strength training on the structural and functional properties of human muscle: A review [Review of Effects of strength training on the structural and functional properties of human muscle: A review]. Sports Medicine, Training, and Rehabilitation/Sports Medicine, Training and Rehabilitation, 7(1), 49. https://doi.org/10.1080/15438629609512070
McErlain-Naylor, S. A., Towler, H., Afzal, I., Felton, P., Hiley, M. J., & King, M. A. (2020). Effect of racket-shuttlecock impact location on shot outcome for badminton smashes by elite players. In Journal of Sports Sciences (Vol. 38, Issue 21, p. 2471). Taylor & Francis. https://doi.org/10.1080/02640414.2020.1792132
Miller, M. G., Berry, D., Gilders, R. M., & Bullard, S. (2001). Recommendations for Implementing an Aquatic Plyometric Program. In Strength and conditioning journal (Vol. 23, Issue 6, p. 28). Lippincott Williams & Wilkins. https://doi.org/10.1519/00126548-200112000-00005
Mourtzios, C., Athanailidis, I., Arvanitidou, V., Papadimitriou, D., & Kellis, E. (2023). Does the Kinetic Chain Work in the Forehand Drive Open Stance? What Electromyographic Analysis of the latissimus Dorsi and the Posterior Deltoid Muscles Showed Us. In European Journal of Sport Sciences (Vol. 2, Issue 3, p. 8). https://doi.org/10.24018/ejsport.2023.2.3.81
Naoto Matsunaga,Koji Kaneoka. (2018). Comparison of Modular Control during Smash Shot between Advanced and Beginner Badminton Players. http://downloads.hindawi.com/journals/abb/2018/6592357.pdf
Özlem Orhan,Ebru Çetin,Imdat Yarim,Okan Gültekin. (2019). Evaluating the relationship between smash scores and jump parameters of elite level badminton players. https://j-humansciences.com/ojs/index.php/IJHS/article/download/5761/3299
P, W. P., & Josheeta, S. (2023). Effect of Plyometric Exercises Versus Speed Agility Quickness Training on Agility, Speed, Power, Dynamic Balance and Reaction Time in Amateur Badminton Players. In International Journal of Physiotherapy and Research (Vol. 11, Issue 3, p. 4524). https://doi.org/10.16965/ijpr.2023.124
Panda, M., Rizvi, M. R., Sharma, A., Sethi, P., Ahmad, I., & Kumari, S. (2022). Effect of electromyostimulation and plyometrics training on sports-specific parameters in badminton players. In Sports Medicine and Health Science (Vol. 4, Issue 4, p. 280). Elsevier BV. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.smhs.2022.08.002
Phomsoupha, M., & Laffaye, G. (2014). The Science of Badminton: Game Characteristics, Anthropometry, Physiology, Visual Fitness and Biomechanics. In Sports Medicine (Vol. 45, Issue 4, p. 473). Springer Science+Business Media. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40279-014-0287-2
Phomsoupha, M., Berger, Q., & Laffaye, G. (2024). Multiple Repeated Sprint Ability Test for Badminton Players. https://journals.lww.com/nsca-jscr/fulltext/2018/02000/multiple_repeated_sprint_ability_test_for.15.aspx
Soemardiawan, S., Tangkudung, J., & Hanif, A. S. (2019). The Development of Motion-Based Individual Badminton Smash Forehand Training Models for Students of IKIP Mataram 2019. In International Journal for Educational and Vocational Studies (Vol. 1, Issue 3). https://doi.org/10.29103/ijevs.v1i3.1589
Stojanović, E., Ristić, V., McMaster, D. T., & Milanović, Z. (2016). Effect of Plyometric Training on Vertical Jump Performance in Female Athletes: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. In Sports Medicine (Vol. 47, Issue 5, p. 975). Springer Science+Business Media. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40279-016-0634-6
The Science behind Badminton Smashes. (2024, June 1). https://illumin.usc.edu/fast-furious-the-science-behind-badminton-smashes/
Τσούκος, A., Drikos, S., Brown, L. E., Sotiropoulos, K., Veligekas, P., & Bogdanis, G. C. (2018). Upper and Lower Body Power Are Strong Predictors for Selection of Male Junior National Volleyball Team Players. In The Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research (Vol. 33, Issue 10, p. 2760). Lippincott Williams & Wilkins. https://doi.org/10.1519/jsc.0000000000002472
Vicente-Salar, N., Santos-Sánchez, G., & Roche, E. (2020). Nutritional Ergogenic Aids in Racquet Sports: A Systematic Review. In Nutrients (Vol. 12, Issue 9, p. 2842). Multidisciplinary Digital Publishing Institute. https://doi.org/10.3390/nu12092842
Villarreal, E. S.-S. de, Requena, B., & Newton, R. U. (2009). Does plyometric training improve strength performance? A meta-analysis [Review of Does plyometric training improve strength performance? A meta-analysis]. Journal of Science and Medicine in Sport, 13(5), 513. Elsevier BV. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsams.2009.08.005
Wei, C., Yu, L., Duncan, B., & Renfree, A. (2020). A Plyometric Warm-Up Protocol Improves Running Economy in Recreational Endurance Athletes. In Frontiers in Physiology (Vol. 11). Frontiers Media. https://doi.org/10.3389/fphys.2020.00197
Yüksel, M. F., & Tunç, G. T. (2018). Examining the Reaction Times of International Level Badminton Players Under 15. In Sports (Vol. 6, Issue 1, p. 20). Multidisciplinary Digital Publishing Institute. https://doi.org/10.3390/sports6010020
Zhang, S. (2020). Effects of fatigue on biomechanics of forehand smash in badminton. In Journal of Vibroengineering (Vol. 22, Issue
© 2025 The Author. This article is licensed CC BY SA 4.0.
Visit Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International License.

8, p. 1826). JVE International. https://doi.org/10.21595/jve.2020.21467

Zhang, Z., Li, S., Wan, B., Visentin, P., & Jiang, Q. (2023). The Influence of X-Factor (Trunk Rotation) and Experience on the Quality of the Badminton Forehand Smash. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC5260572/

Zhang, Z., Li, S., Wan, B., Visentin, P., Jiang, Q., Dyck, M. J., Li, H., & Shan, G. (2016). The Influence of X-Factor (Trunk Rotation) and Experience on the Quality of the Badminton Forehand Smash. In Journal of Human Kinetics (Vol. 53, Issue 1, p. 9). De Gruyter Open. https://doi.org/10.1515/hukin-2016-0006

Zhao, X., & Li, S. (2019). A Biomechanical Analysis of Lower Limb Movement on the Backcourt Forehand Clear Stroke among Badminton Players of Different Levels. In Applied Bionics and Biomechanics (Vol. 2019, p. 1). Hindawi Publishing Corporation. https://doi.org/10.1155/2019/7048345

Zhou, C., & Yang, J. (2021). Analysis of Badminton Technical Movement Scoring Rate in International Competitions with the Help of Computer. In Journal of Physics Conference Series (Vol. 1992, Issue 2, p. 22039). IOP Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1992/2/022039

© 2025 The Author. This article is licensed CC BY SA 4.0.
Visit Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International License.

Author information

Information about the authors/Author Biographies:

Author Information	
Tina Vickery (Author 1) Corresponding Authors	https://orcid.org/0009-0004-1615-5135 **Affiliation:** Youth & Community Programs, Children's Services and Community Recreation, Australia. **Address:** Epping VIC 3076, Australia. **Disciplines:** Sports Science **Skills And Expertise:** Badminton Strangth and Conditioning **Authors' Contribution:** abcde **Contact e-Mail:** tinavizk6w@outlook.com
Eugénia Azevedo **(**Author 2)	https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6739-9894 **Affiliation:** University of Porto, Portugal. **Address:** Praça de Gomes Teixeira, 4099-002 Porto, Portugal **Disciplines:** Science Education **Skills And Expertise:** Science Education **Authors' Contribution:** abcd **Contact e-Mail:** eugeniaazevedo8@gmail.com
Zsolt Németh (Author 3)	https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4656-2618 **Affiliation:** University of Pecs, Hungary. **Address:** Pécs, 48-as tér 1, 7622 Hongaria. **Disciplines:** Sport and Physical Education **Skills And Expertise:** Sport Science, Pedagogy & Psychology **Authors' Contribution**:abc Contact e-Mail: zsolt.nemeth@gamma.ttk.pte.hu
Mellissa Frazer (Author 4)	https://orcid.org/0009-0004-6159-5121 **Affiliation:** Physical Activity and Sport Sciences, Universidad Europea, Spain. **Address:** Villaviciosa de Odón, Madrid 28670, Spain **Disciplines:** Sport Science **Skills And Expertise:** Sport Science **Authors' Contribution**: acd Contact e-Mail: mefrazerzssp@outlook.com

© 2025 The Author. This article is licensed CC BY SA 4.0.
Visit Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International License.