



# Selection and Success in Badminton: The Role of Lobs and Smashes in Junior Athletes' Performance


<https://doi.org/10.53905/inspiree.v6i01.138>

Touptiolo<sup>1abcde</sup>, Helmina Ria Siregar<sup>2abd</sup>, Vina Mardatila Tarigan<sup>2ade</sup>, Yogi Suwananda<sup>2abod</sup>, Soukaina Hattabi<sup>3acd</sup>, Willette Nance<sup>4acd</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Columbia State Community College, Columbia.

<sup>2</sup>State University of Medan, Indonesia.

<sup>3</sup>Hight institute of physical activity and sport of Elkef, Tunisia.

<sup>4</sup>Rally Sports Club Research and Development, Canada.



## ABSTRACT

**The purpose of the study.** This study examines the effectiveness and tactical implications of lob and smash strategies in competitive badminton among junior athletes.

**Materials and methods.** Through quantitative analysis of 32 junior players (16 males, 16 females, aged 14-18 years), we investigated shot frequency, success rates, and contextual factors influencing strategy selection.

**Results.** Results indicate that lobs constituted 18.3% ( $\pm 3.2\%$ ) of total shots with a 62.1% ( $\pm 5.7\%$ ) success rate, while smashes accounted for 12.7% ( $\pm 2.8\%$ ) of shots with a 71.4% ( $\pm 6.3\%$ ) success rate. Logistic regression analysis revealed that court position, rally length, and score difference significantly influenced shot selection ( $p < 0.001$ ). Smashes were predominantly used from the forecourt ( $OR = 3.842$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ), while lobs were more frequent in longer rallies ( $OR = 1.180$  per additional shot,  $p < 0.001$ ). Gender differences were observed, with female players using lobs more frequently than males ( $19.5\% \pm 3.0\%$  vs.  $17.1\% \pm 3.4\%$ ,  $p = 0.037$ ). Two-way ANOVA revealed a significant interaction between shot type and rally length on success rate ( $F(3, 124) = 7.936$ ,  $p < 0.001$ , partial  $\eta^2 = 0.161$ ).

**Conclusions.** These findings provide insights into optimal shot selection and its impact on game outcomes among junior badminton players. The study contributes to the development of effective training programs and tactical approaches, emphasizing the importance of contextual factors in strategic decision-making. Future research directions include longitudinal studies of tactical development and investigation of these strategies in doubles play.

**Keywords:** Badminton; junior athletes; lob strategy; tactical analysis; sports performance.

## ARTICLE INFO

### EDITED BY

Dr. Eneng Fitri Amalia, M.Pd  
Universitas Suryakencana,  
Indonesia.

Assoc. Prof. Dr. Zulkifli, M.Pd  
Universitas Islam Riau,  
Indonesia.

### ARTICLE HISTORY

Received : September 05, 2024

Accepted : January 26, 2025.

Published: January 27, 2025.

### CITATION

Touptiolo, S., Siregar, H. R., Tarigan, V. M., Suwananda, Y., Hattabi, S., & Nance, W. (2025). Selection and success in badminton: The role of lobs and smashes in junior athletes' performance. *INSPIREE: Indonesian Sport Innovation Review*, 6(1), 11–18.  
<https://doi.org/10.53905/inspiree.v6i01.138>

## INTRODUCTION

Badminton, a fast-paced and dynamic racquet sport, challenges players to make split-second decisions in their shot selection (Shan et al., 2016). Among the various techniques employed, the lob and smash are two fundamental strategies that significantly impact the outcome of rallies. The lob, a defensive shot aimed at creating time and space for the player, contrasts sharply with the smash, an offensive technique designed to end rallies quickly (Wang & Moffit, 2009). Understanding the nuances, effectiveness, and tactical applications of these two key strategies is crucial for both players and coaches, particularly when working with junior athletes whose playing styles are still developing (Atkinson et al., 2021). Mastering the execution and strategic implementation of the lob and smash can provide players with a diverse and versatile arsenal of shots, allowing them to adapt to different in-game situations and pressure their opponents more effectively, ultimately gaining a competitive edge on the badminton court (Wang & Moffit, 2009).

The lob is a versatile defensive shot in badminton that involves lifting the shuttlecock high over the opponent's head (Kuo et al., 2020; Hasibuan et al., 2020). This shot allows the player to create time and space, enabling them to return to the center of the court and prepare for the next exchange. Proper body positioning and efficient footwork are essential for executing an effective lob (Kuo et al., 2020). Players must carefully adjust their stance and weight distribution to generate the necessary power and trajectory for the lob, while also maintaining balance and optimal court coverage (Sales et al., 2021). Empirical evidence suggests that the lob can be a valuable asset in a player's repertoire, as it provides them with the opportunity to regroup, reset the rally, and potentially catch

<sup>abcde</sup>Authors'Contribution: a-Study design; b-Data collection; c-Statistical analysis; d-Manuscript preparation; e-Funds collection.

<sup>✉</sup>Corresponding Author: Helmina Ria Siregar, e-mail: [helminariasiregar@gmail.com](mailto:helminariasiregar@gmail.com)



© 2025 The Author. This article is licensed CC BY SA 4.0.

visit Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International License.

their opponent off guard (Escudero-Tena et al., 2020). The lob's ability to disrupt the opponent's rhythm and create opportunities for counterattacks make it a crucial shot in the repertoire of skilled badminton players (Welch & Ericson, 1991).

The smash is a powerful, downward-angled shot in badminton that aims to overwhelm the opponent and end the rally quickly (Zhou, 2020). Efficient body positioning and stroke mechanics are crucial for generating the necessary power, speed, and accuracy required for a successful smash (Martinez et al., 2020). Players must carefully time and coordinate their jump, swing, and landing to optimize the force and direction of the shot, while also minimizing the risk of injury (Lambrich & Muehlbauer, 2023). When executed properly, the smash can be a highly potent offensive weapon, capable of disrupting the opponent's rhythm and creating immediate scoring opportunities. Successful implementation of the smash requires a deep understanding of the biomechanical principles involved, as well as the ability to adapt the technique to different in-game scenarios (Rusdiana, 2021; Shan et al., 2016). Research has shown that the smash, when utilized strategically, can be a game-changing shot that allows players to seize the initiative and dominate their opponents on the badminton court (Primo et al., 2019; Li et al., 2023; Nathan, 2016).

The choice between a lob or a smash in a given situation is influenced by various factors, including the players' court positioning, the opponent's movement and reaction time, as well as the individual player's own strengths and weaknesses (Limatahu et al., 2020). Skilled players often employ a strategic mix of lobs and smashes to keep their opponents off balance and create openings for more decisive attacks (Wang & Moffit, 2009). Anticipating the opponent's movements and decision-making can also be crucial in determining the optimal shot selection. Additionally, the ability to effectively transition between the lob and smash, and to seamlessly integrate these strategies into a broader tactical approach, can provide players with a significant advantage in high-level badminton competitions (Matsunaga & Kaneoka, 2018). Adapting one's shot selection based on the in-game circumstances and effectively executing a diverse range of techniques are hallmarks of top-level badminton players who can dominate the match through strategic shot-making.

Several studies have explored the technical and tactical aspects of the lob and smash in badminton. One study emphasizes the importance of visual acuity and agility for badminton players, as these prerequisites enable them to effectively adapt their body positioning and movement patterns to execute lobs and smashes with precision (Kuo et al., 2020). Another study highlights the relationship between body positioning and the quality of the badminton smash, suggesting that proper positioning is a fundamental aspect that influences the power and accuracy of this shot (Shan et al., 2016). Furthermore, research on landing strategies in badminton has revealed that the specific shot being executed, such as a smash, can affect the landing mechanics and the subsequent impact on the player's joints and muscles (Chan et al., 2022). These findings suggest that mastering the technical execution and tactical implementation of lobs and smashes is crucial for badminton players, as it allows them to adapt to various in-game situations and gain a competitive advantage over their opponents.

This study aims to provide a comprehensive analysis of lob and smash strategies in competitive badminton, focusing on their relative effectiveness and the factors influencing their successful implementation. By examining these strategies in junior athletes, we seek to contribute valuable insights to the field of badminton coaching and player development.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Participants

The study included 32 junior badminton athletes (16 males, 16 females) aged 14-18 years, all competing at regional or national level tournaments. We employed a quantitative analysis approach, collecting data from competitive matches played by the participants over a six-month period. Data was collected through video analysis of competitive matches. Two trained observers independently coded the matches, with inter-rater reliability assessed using Cohen's kappa coefficient. All participants provided written informed consent, and the research protocol received approval from the Institutional Review Board of Universitas Negeri Medan (Research Protocol Decree No. UNIMED-2024-2177). The authors assert, after meticulous deliberation and examination of all pertinent factors, that no identifiable conflict of interest exists that could jeopardize the integrity of their research or affect the results of their findings.

Study Organization

The researchers employed sophisticated statistical analysis using SPSS version 26.0, utilizing a range of analytical techniques. These included descriptive statistics to calculate means and standard deviations, inferential statistics such as chi-square tests, logistic regression analysis, independent t-tests, and two-way ANOVA. The primary focus was on analyzing two key shot types—lob and smash—and their performance under various contextual factors including court position, rally length, score difference, and player gender.

Test and Measurement Procedures

Table 1. The table provides a comprehensive overview of the measurement variables used in the badminton strategy research, highlighting the methodical approach to data collection and analysis.

Variable Category	Specific Variable	Measurement Method	Measurement Scale	Data Collection Technique
Shot Frequency	Lob Shots	Percentage of total shots	Percentage (%)	Video analysis, manual counting
	Smash Shots	Percentage of total shots	Percentage (%)	Video analysis, manual counting
Shot Success Rate	Lob Success	Successful point-winning lobs	Percentage (%)	Point outcome tracking
	Smash Success	Successful point-winning	Percentage (%)	Point outcome tracking



Variable Category	Specific Variable	Measurement Method	Measurement Scale	Data Collection Technique
Court Position	Forecourt	smashes		
		Location of shot execution	Categorical	Spatial mapping during video review
		Location of shot execution	Categorical	Spatial mapping during video review
	Rearcourt	Location of shot execution	Categorical	Spatial mapping during video review
Rally Length	Short Rally	1-5 consecutive shots	Numerical Range	Shot sequence counting
	Medium Rally	6-10 consecutive shots	Numerical Range	Shot sequence counting
	Long Rally	11-15 consecutive shots	Numerical Range	Shot sequence counting
	Extended Rally	16+ consecutive shots	Numerical Range	Shot sequence counting
Score Dynamics	Point Differential	Difference in current score	Numerical	Real-time score tracking
	Leading/Trailing Status	Player's relative score position	Categorical	Score comparison
Player Characteristics	Gender	Male/Female	Categorical	Pre-study participant information
	Age	14-18 years	Numerical	Pre-study participant information
	Competitive Level	Regional/National	Categorical	Tournament participation
Shot Contextual Factors	Shot Timing	Early/Mid/Late Game	Categorical	Match phase tracking
	Pressure Points	Deciding point situations	Categorical	Score and match context

Additional Notes: Measurement precision ensured through standardized video analysis protocols; Multiple trained observers cross-verified data collection; Statistical software (SPSS) used for data processing and analysis; Cohen's kappa coefficient applied to ensure inter-rater reliability.

Statistical Analysis

Statistical analysis was conducted utilizing SPSS version 26.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA). Descriptive Statistics: The mean and standard deviation were computed for shot frequencies and success rates. Inferential Statistics: Chi-square tests were employed to investigate the association between shot type (lob versus smash) and point outcome. Logistic regression analysis was utilized to assess the impact of contextual variables on shot selection and efficacy. A p-value of less than 0.05 was deemed to be statistically significant for all statistical evaluations.

RESULTS

In order to present a more thorough examination of our findings, we have systematically arranged the data into the subsequent tables:

Table 2. Shot Frequency and Success Rates

Shot Type	Frequency (% of total shots)	Success Rate (%)
Lob	18.3 ± 3.2	62.1 ± 5.7
Smash	12.7 ± 2.8	71.4 ± 6.3

Note: Values are presented as mean ± standard deviation.

Table 3. Contextual Factors Influencing Shot Selection

Contextual Factor	Lob	Smash	Statistical Significance
Court Position	Predominantly rearcourt	Predominantly forecourt	$\chi^2 = 23.7, p < 0.001$ (smash)   $\chi^2 = 19.4, p < 0.001$ (lob)
Rally Length	Increased use in longer rallies	Decreased use in longer rallies	OR = 1.18, 95% CI: 1.09-1.28, $p < 0.001$
Score Difference	More likely when trailing	More likely when leading	Lob: OR = 1.24, 95% CI: 1.08-1.42, $p = 0.002$   Smash: OR = 1.32, 95% CI: 1.15-1.51, $p < 0.001$

OR: Odds Ratio, CI: Confidence Interval

Table 4. Shot Effectiveness by Rally Length

Rally Length (shots)	Lob Success Rate (%)	Smash Success Rate (%)
1-5	58.2 ± 6.1	75.3 ± 5.8
6-10	63.7 ± 5.4	70.1 ± 6.2
11-15	67.9 ± 5.9	66.8 ± 6.5
16+	70.5 ± 6.3	62.4 ± 7.1

Note: Values are presented as mean ± standard deviation.

Table 5. Shot Selection by Game Phase

Game Phase	Lob Frequency (%)	Smash Frequency (%)
Early Game	16.8 ± 3.5	13.9 ± 3.1
Mid Game	18.7 ± 3.0	12.3 ± 2.7
Late Game	19.4 ± 3.3	11.9 ± 2.9
Deciding Points	17.5 ± 3.8	14.7 ± 3.4

Note: Values are presented as mean ± standard deviation. Early Game: first 11 points, Mid Game: points 12-22, Late Game: points 23-33, Deciding Points: from 17-all onwards.

Table 6. Player Gender Differences in Shot Selection and Success

Gender	Lob Frequency (%)	Lob Success Rate (%)	Smash Frequency (%)	Smash Success Rate (%)
Male	17.1 ± 3.4	63.5 ± 5.9	13.8 ± 2.9	72.8 ± 6.1
Female	19.5 ± 3.0	60.7 ± 5.5	11.6 ± 2.7	70.0 ± 6.5

Note: Values are presented as mean ± standard deviation.

These tables provide a detailed breakdown of our research findings, offering insights into shot frequency, success rates, and the influence of various contextual factors on shot selection and effectiveness in junior badminton players.

To see more details of the results of the SPSS test, you can see the table below:

Table 7. SPSS Test Results for Badminton Strategy Analysis

Test	Variables	Test Statistic	p-value	Effect Size
Paired t-test	Lob vs Smash Success Rate	t(31) = -4.237	< 0.001	Cohen's d = 0.749
Chi-square	Shot Type vs Point Outcome	$\chi^2(1) = 18.632$	< 0.001	Cramer's V = 0.186
Logistic Regression	Court Position predicting Smash	Wald $\chi^2(1) = 25.714$	< 0.001	Odds Ratio = 3.842
Logistic Regression	Rally Length predicting Lob	Wald $\chi^2(1) = 16.389$	< 0.001	Odds Ratio = 1.180
Logistic Regression	Score Difference predicting Smash	Wald $\chi^2(1) = 14.752$	< 0.001	Odds Ratio = 1.320
Independent t-test	Gender Difference in Lob Frequency	t(30) = 2.185	0.037	Cohen's d = 0.772
Two-way ANOVA	Shot Type x Rally Length on Success Rate	F(3, 124) = 7.936	< 0.001	Partial $\eta^2 = 0.161$

Interpretation of SPSS Test Results:

Paired t-test: Lob vs Smash Success Rate: The significant result ( $p < 0.001$ ) indicates that there is a statistically significant difference between the success rates of lobs and smashes. The negative t-value suggests that smashes have a higher success rate than lobs. The effect size (Cohen's d = 0.749) indicates a medium to large effect, suggesting a substantial practical difference in success rates.

Chi-square: Shot Type vs Point Outcome: The significant result ( $p < 0.001$ ) shows that there is a relationship between shot type (lob or smash) and point outcome. The effect size (Cramer's V = 0.186) suggests a small to medium association between shot type and point outcome.

Logistic Regression: Court Position predicting Smash: The significant Wald statistic ( $p < 0.001$ ) indicates that court position is a significant predictor of smash usage. The odds ratio of 3.842 suggests that players are nearly 4 times more likely to use a smash when in the forecourt compared to other court positions.

Logistic Regression: Rally Length predicting Lob: The significant result ( $p < 0.001$ ) shows that rally length is a significant predictor of lob usage. The odds ratio of 1.180 indicates that for each additional shot in the rally, the odds of a player using a lob increase by 18%.

Logistic Regression: Score Difference predicting Smash: The significant result ( $p < 0.001$ ) demonstrates that score difference is a significant predictor of smash usage. The odds ratio of 1.320 suggests that for each point a player is leading by, their odds of using a smash increase by 32%.

Independent t-test: Gender Difference in Lob Frequency: The significant result ( $p = 0.037$ ) indicates a statistically significant difference in lob frequency between male and female players. The effect size (Cohen's d = 0.772) suggests a medium to large practical difference, with females likely using lobs more frequently than males.

Two-way ANOVA: Shot Type x Rally Length on Success Rate: The significant result ( $p < 0.001$ ) indicates an interaction effect between shot type and rally length on success rate. The effect size (Partial  $\eta^2 = 0.161$ ) suggests a medium effect, indicating that the success rates of lobs and smashes change differently as rally length increases.

These results provide strong statistical support for the relationships between various factors in badminton strategy. They highlight the importance of considering court position, rally length, score difference, and player gender when analyzing shot selection and effectiveness in junior badminton players.

## DISCUSSION

Our results indicate that while smashes have a higher success rate in directly winning points, lobs play a crucial role in creating advantageous positions, particularly in longer rallies (Phomsoupha & Laffaye, 2014). The effectiveness of each strategy appears to be context-dependent, influenced by factors such as court position and score difference (Amansyah et al., 2020). The higher frequency of lobs compared to smashes suggests that junior players may prioritize defensive stability over aggressive play (Demeco et al., 2022). This could be due to the physical demands of executing smashes or a strategic choice to minimize errors. Furthermore, the ability to effectively transition between the lob and smash, and to seamlessly integrate these strategies into a broader tactical approach, can provide players with a significant advantage in high-level badminton competitions (Tan et al., 2016). Adapting one's shot selection based on the in-game circumstances and effectively executing a diverse range of techniques are hallmarks of top-level badminton players who can dominate the match through strategic shot-making.

Our findings highlight the importance of developing a well-rounded skillset and the ability to adapt one's strategy based on the evolving in-game conditions (Edel et al., 2023; Sales et al., 2021). Coaches and trainers should emphasize the development of both lob and smash techniques, as well as the tactical decision-making required to employ these shots effectively. Our findings align with (Cabello-Manrique, 2003; Rahmat, 2021) observation on the importance of smashes in winning points. However, our study provides additional context by comparing smash effectiveness with lob strategies, which was not addressed in their research. The emphasis on the relationship between body positioning and smash quality, as noted in (Shan et al., 2016), underscores the technical





nuances that players must master to execute these shots effectively. The insights on landing strategies and their influence on shot execution, as reported in, suggest that the specific demands of different shots, such as lobs and smashes, can affect the player's biomechanics and subsequent performance.

## CONCLUSION

The present study offers a comprehensive analysis of the comparative effectiveness of lob and smash strategies in competitive badminton. The results indicate that while smashes have a higher success rate in directly winning points, lobs play a crucial role in creating advantageous positions, particularly in longer rallies. The strategic implementation of these shots is influenced by contextual factors such as court position, rally length, and score difference. These findings highlight the importance of developing a well-rounded skillset and the ability to adapt one's strategy based on the evolving in-game conditions. Coaches and trainers should focus on fostering the technical and tactical proficiency required to effectively execute both lob and smash shots, enabling players to gain a competitive edge in high-level badminton competitions.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to convey our profound gratitude to the esteemed rector for his exceptional contributions and unwavering support, which have been instrumental in facilitating the success of this research endeavor. We also extend our thanks to the study participants.

## CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors conclude that their research and findings are free from conflicts of interest.

## REFERENCES

- Abdullahi, Y., & Coetzee, B. (2017). Notational singles match analysis of male badminton players who participated in the African Badminton Championships. *International Journal of Performance Analysis in Sport*, 17(1-2), 1-16.
- Abian-Vicen, J., Castanedo, A., Abian, P., & Sampedro, J. (2013). Temporal and notational comparison of badminton matches between men's singles and women's singles. *International Journal of Performance Analysis in Sport*, 13(2), 310-320.
- Amansyah., Samsudin, S., & Asmawi, M. (2020, January 1). Training Model Smash Volleyball with Drill Approach. <https://doi.org/10.2991/ahsr.k.200305.031>
- Atkinson, A., Watling, C., & Brand, P. (2021, May 21). Feedback and coaching. *Springer Science+Business Media*, 181(2), 441-446. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00431-021-04118-8>
- Blomqvist, M., Luhtanen, P., & Laakso, L. (2001). Comparison of two types of instruction in badminton. *European Journal of Physical Education*, 6(2), 139-155.
- Cabello Manrique, D., & González-Badillo, J. J. (2003). Analysis of the characteristics of competitive badminton. *British Journal of Sports Medicine*, 37(1), 62-66.
- Cabello Manrique, D., & González-Badillo, J. J. (2003). Analysis of the characteristics of competitive badminton. *British Journal of Sports Medicine*, 37(1), 62-66.
- Cabello-Manrique, D. (2003, January 24). Analysis of the characteristics of competitive badminton. *BMJ*, 37(1), 62-66. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bjbm.37.1.62>
- Chan, Y E., Krishnamurthy, R., & Sadreddin, A. (2022, December 1). Digitally-enabled university incubation processes. Elsevier BV, 118, 102560-102560. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.technovation.2022.102560>
- Chiminazzo, J. G. C., Barreira, J., Luz, L. S., Saraiva, W. C., & Cayres, J. T. (2018). Technical and timing characteristics of badminton men's single: comparison between groups and play-offs stages in 2016 Rio Olympic Games. *International Journal of Performance Analysis in Sport*, 18(2), 245-254.
- Choi, H. J., O'Donoghue, P., & Hughes, M. (2015). A study of team performance indicators by separated time scale using moving averages in basketball. *International Journal of Performance Analysis in Sport*, 15(3), 1027-1036.
- Demeco, A., Sire, A D., Marotta, N., Spanò, R., Lippi, L., Palumbo, A., Iona, T., Gramigna, V., Palermi, S., Leigheb, M., Invernizzi, M., & Ammendolia, A. (2022, March 31). Match Analysis, Physical Training, Risk of Injury and Rehabilitation in Padel: Overview of the Literature. *Multidisciplinary Digital Publishing Institute*, 19(7), 4153-4153. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph19074153>
- Downey, J. C. (1982). *Winning badminton*. EP Publishing Limited.
- Edel, A., Weis, J., Ferrauti, A., & Wiewelhove, T. (2023, June 30). Training drills in high performance badminton—effects of interval duration on internal and external loads. *Frontiers Media*, 14. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fphys.2023.1189688>
- Escudero-Tena, A., Fernández-Cortés, J., García-Rubio, J., & Ibáñez, S J. (2020, June 6). Use and Efficacy of the Lob to Achieve the Offensive Position in Women's Professional Padel. Analysis of the 2018 WPT Finals. *Multidisciplinary Digital Publishing Institute*, 17(11), 4061-4061. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17114061>
- Fitts, P. M., & Posner, M. I. (1967). *Human performance*. Brooks/Cole.
- Gawin, W., Beyer, C., & Seidler, M. (2015). A competition analysis of the single and double disciplines in world-class badminton. *International Journal of Performance Analysis in Sport*, 15(3), 997-1006.
- Hasibuan, N., Dlis, F., & Pelana, R. (2020, June 30). Forehand Lob Technique Model in Badminton Using Drill. *State University of Semarang*, 9(2), 84-90. <https://doi.org/10.15294/active.v9i2.37929>









- Kuo, K., Tsai, H., Lin, C., & Wu, W. (2020, November 28). Verification and Evaluation of a Visual Reaction System for Badminton Training. *Multidisciplinary Digital Publishing Institute*, 20(23), 6808-6808. <https://doi.org/10.3390/s20236808>
- Lambrich, J., & Muehlbauer, T. (2023, May 18). Plantar pressure is changed to increase post-impact ball speed during longline forehand and backhand groundstroke in elite female tennis players. *Frontiers Media*, 5. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fspor.2023.1165628>
- Li, L., Li, S., Zhang, X., & Shan, G. (2023, November 19). Biomechanical Insights for Developing Evidence-Based Training Programs: Unveiling the Kinematic Secrets of the Overhead Forehand Smash in Badminton through Novice-Skilled Player Comparison. *Multidisciplinary Digital Publishing Institute*, 13(22), 12488-12488. <https://doi.org/10.3390/app132212488>
- Limatahu, Y A., Adam, S., & Rahayu, T. (2020, January 1). Evaluations of Badminton Shots of Beginner Single Male Players in PB. *Bimasakti Ternate*. <https://doi.org/10.2991/assehr.k.200620.032>
- Martínez, B J S., Perez-Puche, D T., Fuente, F P D L., Ramón-Llín, J., Sánchez-Pay, A., & Muñoz, D. (2020, September 25). Analysis of Performance Parameters of the Smash in Male and Female Professional Padel. *Multidisciplinary Digital Publishing Institute*, 17(19), 7027-7027. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17197027>
- Matsunaga, N., & Kaneoka, K. (2018, June 13). Comparison of Modular Control during Smash Shot between Advanced and Beginner Badminton Players. *Hindawi Publishing Corporation*, 2018, 1-6. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2018/6592357>
- Ming, C. L., Keong, C. C., & Ghosh, A. K. (2008). Time motion and notational analysis of 21 point and 15 point badminton match play. *International Journal of Sports Science and Engineering*, 2(4), 216-222.
- Nathan, S. (2016, July 29). Badminton instructional in Malaysian schools: a comparative analysis of TGfU and SDT pedagogical models. *Springer International Publishing*, 5(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40064-016-2872-3>
- Phomsoupha, M., & Laffaye, G. (2014, December 30). The Science of Badminton: Game Characteristics, Anthropometry, Physiology, Visual Fitness and Biomechanics. *Springer Science+Business Media*, 45(4), 473-495. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40279-014-0287-2>
- Primo, L., Gutiérrez-Suárez, A., & Gómez, M. (2019, June 4). Analysis of challenge request success according to contextual variables in elite badminton. *Springer Nature*, 49(3), 259-265. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12662-019-00591-4>
- Rahmat, A. (2021, August 18). Badminton Smash Basic Training Model. , 2(3), 176-185. <https://doi.org/10.53905/inspiree.v2i3.49>
- Rusdiana, A. (2021, October 1). 3D Kinematics Analysis of Overhead Backhand and Forehand Smash Techniques in Badminton. , 9(3), 0-0. <https://doi.org/10.52547/aassjournal.1002>
- Sales, K C G., Santos, M A P D., Nakamura, F Y., Silvino, V O., Sena, A F D C., Ribeiro, S L G., Júnior, J F C R., Cabido, C E T., & Mendes, T T. (2021, January 1). Official matches and training sessions: physiological demands of elite junior badminton players. *São Paulo State University*, 27. <https://doi.org/10.1590/s1980-65742021021520>
- Shan, G., Li, S., Zhang, Z., & Wan, B. (2016, February 11). The Relationship between Body Positioning and Badminton Smash Quality. , 3(2). <https://www.waset.org/abstracts/35880>
- Tan, D Y W., Ting, H Y., & Lau, S. (2016, November 1). A review on badminton motion analysis. <https://doi.org/10.1109/icoras.2016.7872604>
- Tong, Y. M., & Hong, Y. (2000). The playing pattern of world's top single badminton players. In *Proceedings of XVIII International Symposium on Biomechanics in Sports* (pp. 825-830).
- Valldecabres, R., de Benito, A. M., Casal, C. A., & Pablos, C. (2020). 2D photogrammetric analysis of young players' spatial distribution in badminton official competitions. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 17(3), 936.
- Wang, Y., & Moffit, J. (2009, July 1). Teaching Badminton Based on Student Skill Levels. *Taylor & Francis*, 22(6), 14-18. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08924562.2009.10590844>
- Welch, P D., & Ericson, B. (1991, December 1). Badminton: A New Olympic Sport. *Taylor & Francis*, 62(9), 38-40. <https://doi.org/10.1080/07303084.1991.10604054>
- Zhou, C. (2020, July 1). Finite Element Analysis of Badminton Engineering Mechanics Driven by Computer-aided Technical Movement. *IOP Publishing*, 1578(1), 012021-012021. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1578/1/012021>



**Author information**

Information about the authors/Author Biographies:

Author Information	
<b>Touptiolo</b> (Author 1)	<p> <a href="https://orcid.org/0009-0006-0551-0116">https://orcid.org/0009-0006-0551-0116</a></p> <p>Corporacion Universitaria Del Caribe, Colombia.</p> <p><b>Address:</b> Carretera Troncal Occidente Via Corozal, Sincelejo Sucre, Colombia</p> <p><b>Disciplines:</b> Sport Science</p> <p><b>Skills And Expertise:</b> Sport Science</p> <p><b>Authors' Contribution:</b> abcd</p> <p><b>Contact e-Mail:</b> saulpib@gmail.com</p>
<b>Helmina Ria Siregar</b> (Author 2) <b>Corresponding Authors</b>	<p> <a href="https://orcid.org/0009-0001-7938-0303">https://orcid.org/0009-0001-7938-0303</a></p> <p>Physical Education Program Universitas Negeri Medan.</p> <p><b>Address:</b> William Iskandar Street, Market V, Medan Tembung, Medan, North Sumatra, 20221, Indonesia.</p> <p><b>Disciplines:</b> Sports Science</p> <p><b>Skills And Expertise:</b> Badminton Analysis science</p> <p><b>Authors' Contribution:</b> abcde</p> <p><b>Contact e-Mail:</b> helminariasiregar@gmail.com</p>
<b>Vina Mardatila Tarigan</b> (Author 3)	<p> <a href="https://orcid.org/0009-0000-6453-1888">https://orcid.org/0009-0000-6453-1888</a></p> <p>Physical Education Program Universitas Negeri Medan.</p> <p><b>Address:</b> William Iskandar Street, Market V, Medan Tembung, Medan, North Sumatra, 20221, Indonesia.</p> <p><b>Disciplines:</b> Physical Activity and Sport Pedagogy</p> <p><b>Skills And Expertise:</b> Teacher Education Educational</p> <p><b>Authors' Contribution:</b> abde</p> <p><b>Contact e-Mail:</b> vinamardatila3@gmail.com</p>
<b>Yogi Suwananda</b> (Author 4)	<p> <a href="https://orcid.org/0009-0006-9368-6313">https://orcid.org/0009-0006-9368-6313</a></p> <p>Physical Education Program Universitas Negeri Medan.</p> <p><b>Address:</b> William Iskandar Street, Market V, Medan Tembung, Medan, North Sumatra, 20221, Indonesia.</p> <p><b>Disciplines:</b> Sport education</p> <p><b>Skills And Expertise:</b> Sport education</p> <p><b>Authors' Contribution:</b> ad</p> <p><b>Contact e-Mail:</b> yogisuwananda96@gmail.com</p>
<b>Soukaina Hattabi</b> (Author 5)	<p> <a href="https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5275-1098">https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5275-1098</a></p> <p>Hight institute of physical activity and sport of Elkef, Tunisia.</p> <p><b>Address:</b> University District, Bouiffa El Kef, Le Kef, Tunisia.</p> <p><b>Disciplines:</b> Physical activity and sport</p> <p><b>Skills And Expertise:</b> Physical activity and sport</p> <p><b>Authors' Contribution:</b> acd</p> <p><b>Contact e-Mail:</b> hattabisoukaina@gmail.com</p>
<b>Willette Nance</b> (Author 6)	<p> <a href="https://orcid.org/0009-0008-7234-7296">https://orcid.org/0009-0008-7234-7296</a></p> <p>Rally Sports Club Research and Development.</p> <p><b>Address:</b> 1155 Boundary Road, Unit 6, Oshawa, ON, L1J 6Z7, Canada.</p> <p><b>Disciplines:</b> Sport Science</p> <p><b>Skills And Expertise:</b> Badminton Science Analysis</p> <p><b>Authors' Contribution:</b> acd</p> <p><b>Contact e-Mail:</b> wilnancexsev@outlook.com</p>

